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Software Measurement

Goals

- Monitor performance year to year, project to project.
- Determine relative productivity of platforms, languages, etc.
- Identify tools that give the best ROI.
- Identify areas offering the greatest improvement to potential.
- Support decision making process for environment changes.
- Illustrate the contribution of IS to the strategic goals.
- Build historical data for estimating & forecasting.
- Aid in project prioritizing.
- Communicate results.

Mary Bradley, MSB2
Software Measure vs Metric

**MEASURE**

“The result of counting or otherwise quantifying an attribute of a process or product...numerical values assigned to software attributes...” *PSM P.382*

**Examples**

- Work Effort (Hours)
- Cost (Labor, Resources)
- Size (FP, KLOC)
- Defects (#, Criticality, Fix $)
Software Measure vs Metric

PSM: INDICATOR

“A measure or combination of measures that provides insight into a software issue or concept...” PSM P.381

Examples

\[ E = MC^2 \]

Productivity = Size / Effort (FP / hr)

Quality = Defects / Size (# / FP)

Labor Rate = $ / Time ($ / hr)
What are Function Points?

“A software size measure. They measure the amount of information processing functionality contained within a software product. They are derived early in the software life cycle from requirements or design specifications, and are applied across diverse application domains and technology platforms.”  

PSM P.381
What Are Function Points?

- FP represent the size of the software’s Logical User Requirements (like Square Feet).

- Developers take Requirements to Installation via hundreds of tasks (like Builders).

- To FP count, we need Logical User requirements (like a Blueprint).
History of FP

1979 - Concept introduced by Alan Albrecht of IBM at Guide/Share conference.

1984 - IBM CI/S&A Guideline 313 “AD/M Productivity Measurements & Estimate Validation”.

1986-87 International Function Point Users Group (IFPUG) organized. MIT Study by Chris Kemerer


1994 - CPM 4.0, Guidelines to S/W Measurement 1.0


June 1997 - ISO/IEC 14143-1 published, 4 other proj.parts...
FP Objectives

Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

- Measure functionality the user requests and receives
- Measure independent of implementation technology
- Provide a normalization factor for software measurement
Types of Counts
Development (Project) FP

- Associated with initial installation of software
- Measures the functionality provided to the users by the project
- Less conversion FP, it is the Application FP count once installed.
Types of Counts
Application (Base) FP

- Static (point in time) size of an installed application
- Measures the current functions provided by the application
- The base count should be analyzed after enhancement
Types of Counts
Enhancement (Project) FP

- Associated with the enhancement of existing software
- Measures the modifications to the existing application
- Includes new (added FP), changed, and removed functions, plus conversion functionality
Customer’s view based on 5 function types:

Data Functions:
- Internal Logical File (ILF)
- External Interface File (EIF)

Transactional Functions:
- External Input (EI)
- External Output (EO)
- External Query (EQ)
Function Point Terms
Source IFPUG CPM 4.0

- **Data Element Type - DET**
  Unique, user recognizable, non-repeated field.

- **Record Element Type - RET**
  User recognizable subgroup of data elements within a logical file. (ILF or EIF)

- **File Type Referenced - FTR**
  A logical file (ILF or EIF) read or maintained by a transaction.
FP Overview

What is the Function Point Counting Process?

1. Determine type of count
2. Identify boundary
3. Count data function types
4. Count the transactional function types
5. Determine the value adjustment factor
6. Calculate the final adjusted FP count
FP Overview

Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

- **Internal Logical File (ILF)**
  Logical group of data maintained by the application being counted (e.g., Employee file)

- **External Interface File (EIF)**
  Logical group of data referenced but not maintained by the application being counted (e.g., Global state table)
FP Overview
Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

- **External Input (EI)**
  Maintains ILF or passes control data.

- **External Output (EO)**
  Calculated or derived data exits the application.

- **External Query (EQ)**
  Presentation of data from logical file(s).
## FP Overview

*Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0*

### File (ILF, EIF) Complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RET's</th>
<th>1-19 DET's</th>
<th>20-50 DET's</th>
<th>51+ DET's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Input (EI, EQ in) Complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FTR's</th>
<th>1 - 4 DET's</th>
<th>5 - 15 DET's</th>
<th>&gt;15 DET's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Output (EO, EQ out) Complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FTR's</th>
<th>1 - 5 DET's</th>
<th>6 - 19 DET's</th>
<th>&gt;19 DET's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FP Overview

*Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0*

### Function Weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function Type</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>x 3</td>
<td>x 4</td>
<td>x 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>x 4</td>
<td>x 5</td>
<td>x 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ</td>
<td>x 3</td>
<td>x 4</td>
<td>x 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILF</td>
<td>x 7</td>
<td>x 10</td>
<td>x 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIF</td>
<td>x 5</td>
<td>x 7</td>
<td>x 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FP Overview

After the application’s functions are counted: the unadjusted FP (UFP), is adjusted for other User /Business Constraints (tech.indep).

This adjustment is made via the Value Adjustment Factor (VAF). It is based on:

- Sum of 14 General System Characteristics (GSC) Questions, each rated 0 to 5
- Average application sum = approx. 35
FP Overview

Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

General Systems Characteristics

- Data Communication
- Distributed Data Processing
- Performance
- Heavily Used Configuration
- Transaction Rate
- On-Line Data Entry
- End User Efficiency
- On-Line Update
- Complex Processing
- Reusability
- Installation Ease
- Operational Ease
- Multiple sites
- Facilitate change
FP Overview

Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

The Value Adjustment Factor (VAF):

\[ VAF = (\text{Sum GSC} \times 0.01) + 0.65 \]

Adjusts UFP count by up to +/- 35%
FP Overview
Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

Adjusted FP Counts:

- Development Project Count
  \[ DFP = (UFP + CFP) \times VAF \]

- Application Count
  \[ AFP = UFP \times VAF \]
FP Overview
Source: IFPUG CPM 4.0

Adjusted FP Counts:
Enhancement Count

\[
EFP = [(ADD + CHGA) \times VAFA] + (DEL \times VAFB)
\]

New (Updated) Base Count

\[
New \ AFP = [(UFPB + ADD + CHGA) - (CHGB + DEL)] \times VAFA
\]
Logical versus Physical
(Examples)

LOGICAL:
1. The application must store Employee information.
2. Users need the ability to add, change and delete employees.

PHYSICAL:
1. Implemented using monthly Employee DB2 tables.
2. Payroll system sends in a TX file with new, updated & terminated employees to our application.
FP Uses

FP size can be used in conjunction with other measures to produce Software Metrics such as:

- Productivity
- Delivery Rates
- Support Ratios
- . . . more . . .
FP Uses - Productivity

- Portfolio size
  *Sum of all FP’s of all applications*

- Project delivery rate
  *FP’s / work effort*

- Application support rate
  *FP’s / work effort*

- Application maint load per person
  *FP’s / # of maintenance people (FTE’s)*
FP Uses - Quality

- **Defect ratio**
  
  \[
  \text{# of defects / Project FP's. Use with Mean Time to Repair ratio (Elapsed time / # of problems)}
  \]

- **Repair cost ratio**
  
  \[
  \text{(Total hours to repair defects * hourly costs) / FP's}
  \]

- **Application reliability**
  
  \[
  1 - \text{(Application failures / Application FP’s)}
  \]

- **Stability ratio**
  
  \[
  1 - \text{(# of changes / FP’s)}
  \]
Misconceptions about FP

FP ARE:

- A measure of application or project size based on quantification of user requirements

- Independent of technology, tools, and other physical project attributes
Misconceptions about FP

**FP ARE NOT:**

- Equal to Work effort, Productivity or the Internal Complexity of applications.
- A “silver bullet” measure
- A quick fix or a solution to problems
- A substitute for Project Attributes
FP Counting Aids

As many as possible from this list:

- Requirement Documents
- Data Models
- Data Flow Diagrams (DFD)
- Entity Relationship Diagrams (ERD)
- Flow Charts
- Interface Descriptions
- Live Application
- Report Layouts
- Screen Layouts
- On-line Tutorial
- System expert (for the system at hand)
- File Layouts
- User Manual
Summary Quotes

- “Without relationships, metrics are not very useful . . .” *
- “Over time, metrics need not be perfect to be useful. . .In metrics, just as in most fields, imperfect does not mean invalid.” *
- * Jerome B. Landsbaum
  from Measuring & Motivating Maintenance Programmers,
  Prentice Hall 1992

- “Without a common denominator such as function points, comparative metrics are not possible.”

- “It should be considered professional malpractice when managers make decisions based on data they haven’t taken the time to understand.”
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