

Future Applied Leading Indicator (ALI) Priorities



	<u>Technical Parameter</u>	<u>vs.</u>	<u>Overall Program Performance Parameter</u>	
1.	Status Empty Weight	vs.	Cost Growth – COMPLETE	
2.	Engineering Staffing	vs.	Cost Growth	AIR-4.2/PPT Collaboration
3.	Work Product Approval (Task Completion)	vs.	Cost Growth	
4.	Engineering Staffing	vs.	Schedule/Technical Performance	
5.	Work Product Approval (Task Completion)	vs.	Schedule/Technical Performance	
6.	Software	vs.	Cost Growth – IN-WORK	
7.	DoDAF Metrics	vs.	Cost Growth	
8.	Requirements	vs.	Cost/Schedule/Technical Performance	
9.	SETR Action Closure	vs.	Cost/Schedule/Technical Performance	
10.	Status Max Speed	vs.	Cost/Schedule/Technical Performance	
11.	R/M/A Metrics	vs.	Cost/Schedule/Technical Performance	
12.	Status Weight	vs.	Schedule/Technical Performance	
13.	Software	vs.	Schedule/Technical Performance	
14.	Program Risks	vs.	Cost/Schedule/Technical Performance	
15.	DoDAF Metrics	vs.	Schedule/Technical Performance	
16.	Status Bandwidth	vs.	Cost/Schedule/Technical Performance	

- Developed ALI for Weight vs. Cost first since significant data was available and relationship is generally accepted
- Will develop future ALIs based on priority from ESG and data availability
 - Data availability will be a significant factor regarding future ALI development priority/timing
- Will pursue AIR-4.2/PPT collaboration to develop staffing & task completion ALIs since they already have this data