



INTEGRITY ASSURANCE: Safety/Security Extensions to CMMI[®] and iCMM[®]

**Dr. Linda Ibrahim
Chief Engineer for Process Improvement
Federal Aviation Administration**

®Capability Maturity Model, CMM, and CMMI are registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University



Topics



- **Background and Motivation**
- **Strategy**
- **What's been done**
- **The Way Ahead**
- **Contact Information**



Background

- **CMMI and iCMM interest in safety/security**
 - In December 2001, Australian Defence Materiel Organization, with the support of US DoD, developed +SAFE – A Safety Extension to CMMI
 - FAA approved a project to include both safety and security in FAA integrated CMM (iCMM)
 - CMMI Steering Group has discussed addressing safety and security; yet decided to stabilize CMMI at v1.1 for 3 years
- **DoD and FAA decided to collaborate on developing safety/security extensions to both iCMM and CMMI**
 - Joint FAA/DoD project launched in May 2002
 - Broad participation from government and industry



Motivation - 1

- **Safety and security are critical to both DoD and FAA, as well as other government and industry organizations**
- **Both CMMI and iCMM provide a framework in which safety and security activities can take place**
- **Australian pilots concluded that safety is not adequately covered in CMMI**
 - Risk that an organization appraised as capable under CMMI might be found lacking in safety process capability
- **Analysis of current safety and security standards highlighted potential “gaps” in CMMI/iCMM coverage**



Motivation - 2

- **CMMI: CMMI-SE/SW/IPPD/SS, V1.1 mentions:**
 - “Safety”: in 9 PAs
 - Project Planning, Risk Management, Requirements Development, Technical Solution, Product Integration, Configuration Management, Decision Analysis and Resolution, Organizational Environment for Integration, Causal Analysis and Resolution
 - “Security”: in 10 PAs
 - Project Planning, Project Monitoring and Control, Supplier Agreement Management, Risk Management, Requirements Development, Technical Solution, Product Integration, Configuration Management, Measurement and Analysis, Organizational Environment for Integration
- **Safety and security are only mentioned in *informative* components of the CMMI**
 - Not in *required* or *expected* components
- **The source material for CMMI did not include any specific safety or security references**



Motivation - 3

- **iCMM: iCMM v2.0 includes:**
 - **For SECURITY – Normative material in 1 PA**
 - Information Management
 - **For SECURITY - Expected material in 6 PAs**
 - Needs; Requirements; Deployment, Transition, and Disposal; Project Management; Configuration Management; Information Management
 - **For SECURITY - Informative material in 11 PAs**
 - Integrated Enterprise Management; Needs; Requirements; Design; Outsourcing; Evaluation; Deployment, Transition, and Disposal; Project Management; Integrated Teaming; Configuration Management; Information Management; Measurement and Analysis
 - **For SAFETY – no Normative material**
 - **For SAFETY – Expected material in 4 PAs**
 - Needs; Requirements; Integration; Deployment, Transition, and Disposal
 - **For SAFETY- Informative material in 13 PAs**
 - Integrated Enterprise Management; Needs; Requirements; Design; Alternatives Analysis; Integration; Evaluation; Deployment, Transition, and Disposal; Project Management; Integrated Teaming; Configuration Management; Training; Innovation
- **iCMM v2.0 integrates 10 sources; some safety/security content**
 - None of these sources are specific to safety or security



Overall Strategy to Develop Extension



- 1) Form Teams**
- 2) Decide Source Material and Map Together at High Level**
- 3) Develop/Synthesize Best Practice from Sources**
- 4) Harmonize Safety and Security Practices**
- 5) Review/Revise (external review)**
- 6) Integrate/Align with the Reference Models**
- 7) Perform Pilot Appraisals**
- 8) Generate/Provide Training/Guidance**
- 9) Review and Publish**



Form Teams



Over 30 participants from FAA, OSD, Army, Navy, Air Force, NASA, DOE, Australian DMO, SEI and industry

Team structure:

- **Project Management**
 - co-leads with overall project responsibility
- **Safety Team**
 - co-leads, authors, and buddies responsible for safety best practices
- **Security Team**
 - co-leads, authors, and buddies responsible for security best practices
- **Model Team**
 - responsible for model knowledge, model placement, editing
- **Harmonization Team**
 - responsible for harmonization and vocabulary
- **Pilot Team**
 - responsible for planning pilot appraisals



Source Documents - 1

- **Source documents are major, essential, widely recognized documents (3 to 5)**
- **Source documents used to synthesize “best practice”**
- **Bi-directional traceability required between new extension and source documents**
 - Demonstrate coverage of source documents
- **Reference documents also identified**
 - Useful in developing best practices in certain areas, but full coverage and detailed mapping not required
 - E.g., +SAFE was used extensively as input to the safety component of the extension



Source Documents - 2

- **Three Source Documents for safety:**
 - *MIL-STD-882C*: System Safety Program Requirements
 - *IEC 61508*: Functional Safety of Electrical/Electronic/Programmable Electronic Systems
 - *DEF STAN 00-56*: Safety Management Requirements for Defence Systems
- **Four Source Documents for security:**
 - *ISO 17799*: Information Technology - Code of practice for information security management
 - *ISO 15408*: The Common Criteria (v 2.1) Mapping of Assurance Levels and Families
 - *SSE-CMM*: Systems Security Engineering CMM (v2.0)
 - *NIST 800-30*: Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems



Synthesizing Best Practice

- **Source documents mapped together at high-level**
- **Natural groupings of subject matter identified**
- **Common objectives/outcomes identified**
- **Practices synthesized from similar practices/
clauses/activities pertaining to common outcomes**
- **Practice level mappings to source material retained**



Harmonization and Initial Review

- **Initial harmonization of the safety and security components occurred in October 02**
 - Safety and security harmonized into a single set of practices titled “Integrity Assurance”
- **Mapping maintained to the original safety and security source documents**
 - Important to demonstrate full coverage of the source documents
- **Common terms proposed for adoption**
 - Endeavor to use standard ISO terminology where possible
- **Review package released in November 2002, for broad review**
 - containing 26 harmonized integrity assurance practices



Integrity Assurance Practices (Review Package) - 1



1. Establishing the Integrity Assurance Program

- 1.1 Determine Regulatory Requirements, Legal Requirements and Standards**
- 1.2 Establish Integrity Assurance Objectives**
- 1.3 Establish an Integrity Assurance Organization Structure**
- 1.4 Establish an Integrity Assurance Plan**

2. Managing the Integrity Assurance Program

- 2.1 Conduct Reviews of Integrity Assurance Activities**
- 2.2 Monitor Integrity Assurance Incidents**
- 2.3 Establish and Control Integrity Assurance Repository**
- 2.4 Manage the Integrity Assurance Program**



Integrity Assurance Practices (Review Package) - 2



3. Managing Supplier Agreements

- 3.1 Select Suppliers
- 3.1 Establish Supplier Agreements
- 3.2 Satisfy Supplier Agreements that Include Integrity Requirements

4. Determining and Applying Integrity Principles

- 4.1 Determine Appropriate Integrity Principles, Measures and Tools
- 4.2 Apply Integrity Principles, Measures and Tools

5. Identifying Threats

- 5.1 Identify Likely Sources of Threats
- 5.2 Document Threats and Incidents



Integrity Assurance Practices (Review Package) - 3



6. Analyzing Integrity Risk

- 6.1 Categorize Threats
- 6.2 Prioritize Threats
- 6.3 Identify Causal Factors
- 6.4 Determine Risk Reduction Strategy

7. Developing and Allocating Integrity Requirements

- 7.1 Develop Integrity Requirements
- 7.2 Analyze Integrity Requirements
- 7.3 Allocate Integrity Requirements
- 7.4 Perform Impact Analysis of Changes

8. Determining Integrity Achievement

- 8.1 Determine Compliance
- 8.2 Assure Integrity
- 8.3 Establish and Maintain Integrity Assurance Argument



Review/Revise



- **Review package released for broader internal and external review**
- **200+ review comments received**
- **Comments dispositioned**
- **Model placement in progress**



Integration with CMMI & iCMM

- **The goal is for common content to be integrated into both CMMI and iCMM**
- **The Model Team is**
 - Analyzing and relating the harmonized practices to the content and structure of the existing integrated models
 - Determining placement of the material for both CMMI and iCMM, *e.g., several of the harmonized practices are already covered to varying extents in existing PAs of the iCMM and CMMI*
- **Insufficient to use Integrity Assurance practices alone to conduct a safety or security appraisal**
 - To be used in conjunction with other CMMI or iCMM PAs (with elaborations/amplifications)
 - Need to integrate with reference models



The Way Ahead

- **Pilot appraisals are being planned**
 - Appraisal feedback will be incorporated
- **Tech Note to be developed, including:**
 - Front matter
 - Integrity Assurance Process Area extension
 - Reference model integration – amplifications/elaborations to PAs
 - Guidance material
 - Mapping to source material
- **Tech Note to be distributed for review**
- **Publication and Use**



Contact Information

- **For more information, or to participate, please contact:**

- Linda Ibrahim: linda.ibrahim@faa.gov
- Joe Jarzombek: joe.jarzombek@osd.mil
- Matt Ashford: mashford@hq.dcma.mil

- **Information available on-line at:**

<http://www.acq.osd.mil/sts/sis/>

<http://www.faa.gov/ipg>



Team Members – 1 of 2

Name	Organization	Team/Role
Ahern, Dennis	Northrop Grumman Electronic Systems	Model Team
Ashford, Matt	Australian Defence Materiel Organisation (DMO)	Safety Co-lead
Bate, Roger	Software Engineering Institute	Model Team
Coblentz, Brenda	US Department of Energy (DOE)	Safety Buddy Pilot Team
Conrad, Ray	Lockheed Martin Air Traffic Mgt (Safety)	Safety Buddy
Cooper, David	Praxis Critical Systems Ltd (UK)	Harmonization Team
Courington, Tim	FAA/Northrop Grumman	Security Co-lead
Croll, Paul	Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC)	Harmonization Lead
Dhami, Sartaj	FAA/Northrop Grumman	Security Author
Gill, Janet	US Navy, NAVAIR Software System Safety Lead	Safety Author
Henning, Ronda	Harris Corp	Security Co-lead
Horn, Mary	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Buddy
Ibrahim, Linda	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Project Co-Manager Model Team Lead
Jackson, Tom	Lockheed Martin	Security Buddy
Jarzombek, Joe	US Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD)	DoD Co-Sponsor Project Co-Manager Harmonization Team



Team Members – 2 of 2

Name	Organization	Team/Role
Keblawi, Faisal	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Co-Lead
Kemens, Victor	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Buddy
LaBruyere, Larry	FAA/Northrop Grumman	Pilot Team
Leonette, Martha J.	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Author
Miller, Gerald	FAA/Northrop Grumman	Security Author
Ming, Lisa	Defense Contract Management Agency	Safety Author
Patel, Raju B.	US Air Force, Wright Patterson AFB	Security Author
Pierson, Hal	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Buddy
Pyster, Art	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	FAA Co-Sponsor
Roseboro, Douglas	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Buddy
Sherer, Wayne	US Army, Picatinny Arsenal	Model Team
Simmons, Marty	Lockheed Martin Mission Systems (Security)	Security Buddy
Stamnas, Les	SAIC	Pilot Team
Stroup, Ron	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Safety Co-lead
Stuart, Sandra	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Security Buddy
Terry, Ray C	US Navy, NAVAIR Systems Safety Division Head	Safety Buddy
VanBuren, Scott	US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	Harmonization Team
Wells, Curt	i-Metrics	Model Team
Wetherholt, Martha	NASA	Safety Author