Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement

20th Practical Software and Systems Measurement Users' Group Meeting and Workshops: *Aligning Measurement with System Life Cycle Realities*

September 16-20, 2019 Arlington, Virginia

Dr. Kenneth E. Nidiffer

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

[Distribution Statement A] Approved for public release and unlimited distribution

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute

Document Markings

Copyright 2019 Carnegie Mellon University. All Rights Reserved.

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8702-15-D-0002 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this material are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Government position, policy, or decision, unless designated by other documentation.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

[DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A] This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution. Please see Copyright notice for non-US Government use and distribution.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

ATAM[®] and Carnegie Mellon[®] are registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University.

DM19-0847

Topics

- Quiz
- Adapting to the Speed of Relevance
- Need to Change the Way We Do Business
- Sustainment and Operations Measurement

Quiz: Agree or Disagree

- Are we in a time of dramatic change in DoD?
- Are we responsible for adapting to that change and/or helping others adapt?
- Are we ready, willing and able to make this work?

"Poor acquisition outcomes are forfeiting U.S. technology advantages and depriving the nation of strategic capabilities....The acquisition system and culture must adapt to the reality that hardware and software systems must change on a frequent basis to meet warfighter needs, adapting to the speed of relevance." – General James Mattis

 So, due to rate of technological advancements impact systems/software engineering continuously making the definition and application of measurement an ongoing struggle.

Near-Peer Adversaries – Need to Think Differently



We now face a renewed power competition

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

National Defense Strategy Lines of Effort

- Build a More Lethal Force
- Strengthen Alliances and Attract New Partners
- Change the Way We Do Business



"A more lethal force, strong alliances and partnerships, American technological innovation, and a culture of performance will generate decisive and sustained U.S. military advantages." National Defense Strategy

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Intelligence Model – Support for Decision Making

Need to Develop and Field Capabilities Faster to Satisfy the Mission



Source: Joint Intelligence / Joint Publication 2-0 (Joint Chiefs of Staff)

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Software-Enabled Systems Are Today's Strategic Resource



Increasing Globalization, Productivity, and Complexity

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Software is Foundational to the Delivery of Warfighter Capability

Software is inherent in today's complex systems and is often the primary cost, schedule, and technical performance driver in DoD programs.



Percent of Aircraft Functionality Provided by Software

The F-35 is essentially a **"flying computer**."

Software enables almost **90%** of its air system functionality, operations, and support.







Source: NASA Study on Flight Software Complexity https://www.nasa.gov/pdf/418878main_FSWC_Final_Report.pdf

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Software is a National Defense Priority



Source: Summary of the 2018 National Defense Strategy (NDS) for the United States of America Department of Defense https://dod.defense.gov/Portals/1/Documents/pubs/

Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Fundamental Shifts in Software Engineering

As software and systems are increasingly becoming **bigger**, **more complex**, and **intertwined**, software engineering and the roles people play are evolving in response.

		Time
Developers write code	Models generate code	AI/ML assists in generating models/code
Software release based on milestones (typically 12 – 24 months)	Continuous integration and continuous deployment (CI/CD)	Automated release-observe-refine
Collect data and evidence from past projects to make predictions	Moving beyond prediction to determining causality	Feedback of data and results to re-train models
Software and hardware must work together	Increasing diversity of languages, platforms, hardware & systems must be made to work together	Systems of people, policies, sensors, software, hardware, etc., continuously learn ways to work together
Developers do nearly everything	Developers determine processes and rules and create automation	Machines continually learn what to do to achieve goals
Black box test for correctness	Formal analysis of correctness	Mathematically verified enforcers watch rest of system
Human in the loop (humans invoke computers)	Humans on the loop (humans monitor computers)	Humans out of the loop (computers notify humans only when needed)

Impact of Increasing Software-Intensive Systems

- Emergent behavior
- Requirements for faster delivery of capabilities
- Continuous and asynchronous delivery
- Continuous system evolution and becoming more intertwined
- Human–machine interface issues
- Data-rich environment

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Key Points So Far

- Software is a national defense priority.
- The ubiquity of software and its critical role require fundamental shifts in software engineering to maintain DoD's competitive advantage.
- DoD needs to **rapidly deploy innovation with confidence** within this shifting landscape by:
 - Discovering new principles for engineering intelligent software systems
 - Developing new practices for enabling DoD mission capability with software innovation
 - Eliminating acquisition barriers by informing DoD software policy and practice to accelerate acquisition
- Growing gap between information obtained using traditional project measures and project managers' information needs
- One more item: The following emerging technologies hold potential for influencing our future research: integration of quantum components into software systems, assuring 5G reliability, low-code platforms, and self-modifying systems.

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute

Confronting The Challenge & Urgency – Software Acquisition Pathway (SAP)*

- Establishes provides preferred pathway for software acquisition, and development and delivery of Warfighter/end-user capabilities.
- Establishes business decisions to assess risk and enable successful software acquisition and development.
- Promotes continuous integration and continuous delivery of user capabilities.
- Aims to deliver increased military effectiveness on timelines relevant to warfighting needs by simplifying the software acquisition model and placing focus on achieving timely measured outcomes.
 - Source: OUSD (A&S) 7/13/2019 (Draft SAP)

Fundamental Shifts in Acquisition – SAP (Draft)



Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Dynamics of Organizational Culture Change Determining an Effective Point to Reset Organizations



System Sustainment and Operations

Increase Need for Continuous Engineering Measures



Break point is becoming less relevant where software is handed off for sustainment is increasingly blurred

Involves coordinating processes, procedures, people, and information

Challenges include

- rising costs
- recertification/retesting
- dynamic operating environments
- legacy environments
- workforce
- Need for LC* measures
- *LC = Lifecycle

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

System Sustainment and Operations Data Measurement Needs



Nexus Drivers

- Dynamic policies and domain characteristics
- Rapidly changing needs
- Blurring of traditional organizational lines
- Complex governance
- Funding, resources, workforce constraints
- Technology optempo!
 - collecting big data
 - processing information
 - using different development approaches
- Measurement model may be domain dependent

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University



Example: Software Assurance Measurement

Improving Architectural Design And Measurement Over the SDLC



Sources: Critical Code, NIST, NASA, INCOSE, and aircraft industry studies

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Working in the Infancy of the Software Engineering Discipline – Human Capital

Improving the Workforce by Developing Software Core Competencies and a Career Field

	Physical Science	Bioscience	Computer/Software/Cyber Science
Origins/History	Begun in antiquity	Begun in antiquity	Mid-20th century
Enduring Laws	Laws are foundational to furthering exploration in the science	Laws are foundational to furthering exploration in the science	Only mathematical laws have proven foundational to computation
Framework of Scientific Study	Four main areas: astronomy, physics, chemistry, and earth sciences	Science of dealing with health maintenance and disease prevention and treatment	 Several areas of study: computer science, software/systems engineering, IT, HCI, social dynamics, AI All nodes are attached to and rely on a netted system
R&D and Launch Cycle	10–20 years	10–20 years	Significantly compressed; solution time to market must happen very quickly

HCI: human-computer interaction; AI: artificial intelligence

Source: SEI

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

Enduring Questions That Drive Hard Choices About This Imperative

- How much is enough?
- How much does "enough" cost?
- Is "enough" affordable?
- How does one decide?
- How does one evaluate the "goodness" of the decision?



Measures supporting advancements in the rapid delivery of capabilities in an asymmetric threat environment

Source: SEI

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

SW Ecosystem Overview

- Four **infrastructure** elements: Basic, fundamental resources necessary for the sustainment activities
- Three knowledge and expertise elements: Skill sets, the government organic workforce, access to necessary technical information needed to deliver and deploy the capabilities for the warfighter
- Three ungrouped elements:
 - Facilities

Carnegie Mellon University

Software Engineering Institute

- Operational SW Deployment
- Mgt/ Performance
 Measurement



Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

ISO/IEC/IEEE 15939 Systems and Software Engineering – Measurement Process

Provides an elaboration of the measurement process from ISO/IEC 15288 and ISO/IEC 12207

The measurement process is applicable to system and software engineering and management disciplines

The process is described through a model that defines the activities of the measurement process that are required to adequately specify what measurement information is required, how the measures and analysis results are to be applied, and how to determine if the analysis results are valid. The measurement process is flexible, tailorable, and adaptable to the needs of different users.

Perspectives*



Perspective is everything in terms of defining measures

*Sw Sustainment Performance Measurement Workshop 29-30 May 2019

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University



One More Item: Human – Machine Teaming

In the real world, autonomy is usually granted within some context—explicit or implicit

- parents and children
- soldiers, sailors, marines, and airmen

How do we do this for machines?

- Explicit may be easy, but implicit is hard for machines
- Commander's intent
- Mission orders

Related to need for explainability and predictability

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

So Where Does This Lead Us?

- A more robust measurement approach will be needed (i.e., digital engineering coupled with data analytics)
- Senior leadership needs quantitative understanding of importance of software across the DoD enterprise
- As software-dominated system projects become larger in scope/complexity, capitalizing on opportunities for making better decisions will become more important
 - Critical to shift from "what happened?" (which is a question of information based on sparse data)
 - To seeking leading indicators on what's going to happen and change outcomes to achieve the desired state insight by asking "what happened, why, how do we solve the problem, and can we evaluate that it has been solved?"
- Enabling an analytics-based framework that seeks to leverage traditional measurement, metrics, data, and information – if done right will provide meaningful understanding and insight

Final Thought

Measurement of advanced program management, with operational participation, will determine if we create C3PO and Johnny 5 . . .

... or the Borg

Contact Information

Dr. Kenneth E. Nidiffer

Principal Member of the Technical Staff

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Office: + 1 703-247-1387 Fax: + 1 703-908-9235 Email: Nidiffer@sei.cmu.edu





Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute Struggles at the Frontiers of Systems Engineering and Measurement © 2019 Carnegie Mellon University

References

- SEI Training: Manage Cybersecurity Risk Across the Lifecycle The CERT Software Assurance Framework (SAF) Assessment
- SEI Training: Architecture Tradeoff Analysis Method (ATAM)
- SEI Training: Security Requirements Engineering Using the SQUARE Method
- SEI Training: Team Software Process (TSP)
- Source Code Analysis Laboratory (SCALe), SEI Technical Note, CMU/SEI-2012-TN-013, 2013
- Quantifying Uncertainty in Early Lifecycle Cost Estimation (QUELCE), Technical Report, CMU/SEI-2011-TR-026, 2011
- Alberts, C.; Woody, C.; & Dorofee, A. *Evaluating Security Risks using Mission Threads*(CMU/SEI-2014-TN-025), 2014.
- DoD Software Sustainment Study Phase III SPECIAL REPORT, CMU/SEI-2018-SR-031, November 2018
- Miller, Christopher, Notes, Software Sustainment Performance Measurement Workshop 29-30 May 2019